



76

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, C.J.

Writ Petition No. 1851 OF 1993.

Petitioners

1. P.D. Sharma, S/o. Shri Rishi Dhar Sharma, Aged about 36 years, Senior Silk Inspector, R/o. Rajendra Nagar, District Bilaspur (M.P.)
2. B.L. Patel, S/o. Shri K.C. Patel, aged about 32 years, Senior Sales Inspector, Through: Asst. Director (Silk) Katghora, District Bilaspur (M.P.)
3. Lalit Tiwari, S/o. Shri P.C. Tiwari, aged about 35 years, Senior Silk Inspector, Through: Asstt. Directors, (Silk), Ambikapur, Sarguja (M.P.)
4. Iqbal Hussain, S/o. Shri Ata Hussain, Aged about 34 years, Senior Silk Inspector, Through : Asstt. Director (Silk) Dharm Jaygarh P.O., Distt, Raigarh (M.P.)
5. Sharad Kumar Prajapati, S/o. PM Prajapati, Aged about 34 years, C/o. Asstt. Director (Silk), Jagdalpur, Bastar.
6. Jeewan Singh Rajput, S/o. Vishnu Prasad Rajput, Aged about 35 years, Senior Silk Inspector, C/o. Asstt. Director (Silk) Ambikapur, Sarguja.
7. A.K. Pandey, S/o. R.P. Pandey, Aged about 31 years, Senior Silk Inspector, C/o. Asstt. Director (Silk), Ambikapur, Sarguja.

Vs.

Respondents

1. The State of M.P., Through: The Secretary, Forest Department, Bhopal (M.P.)
2. The M.P. State Textile Corporation Ltd. F-1, 2-A, 6 A&C Gangotri Complex, TT Nagar, Bhopal.

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Present:

Shri Manish Sharma, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, G.A. for the State/respondents.

77

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 19th April, 2007)

The petitioners claim that they are working in Sericulture Department. They are before this Court in this petition for a direction to the respondents to assign them proper seniority in the list of seniority maintained by the respondents and also to pay them the pay-scale fixed of the post in which they are working.

(2) Before approaching this Court, the petitioners had not gone before the respondents, inter alia seeking redressal of their grievances, if any. In my view, before approaching this Court, the petitioners ought to have filed an appropriate representation before the competent authority for redressal of all their grievances including the grievances, if any, so far as their service conditions are concerned. Therefore, in my view, the petition filed by the petitioners is wholly pre-mature.

(3) Accordingly, I decline to entertain this writ petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to make appropriate representation before the competent authority for redressal of all their grievances, if any. If and when such representation is made, the competent authority would consider the same in accordance with law. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice